



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/090,119	06/04/1998	MARK A.B. HALSTEAD	777.090US1	3552

26389 7590 08/01/2002

CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC  
1420 FIFTH AVENUE  
SUITE 2800  
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347

EXAMINER

FOURSON, GARY SCOTT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2151

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/090,119

Applicant(s)

HALSTEAD

Examiner

Gary Fourson

Art Unit

2151



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gary Fourson

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Barbara M. Level (Reg. No. 45,483)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jul 29, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8, 10, 15, and 19

Identification of prior art discussed:

Henckel (US 6,105,036), Celi, Jr. et al. (US 6,157,933), and Jaworski ("Java 1.1, Second Edition")

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed USC 112, first paragraph rejection given in previous non-final office action and various possible amendments to overcome the rejection. Applicant also noted concerns that the prior art of record may be deficient in teaching all of the limitations recited by independent claim 1 and was advised to submit the arguments in the next written response to be considered in greater depth.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required